#### Student feedback on teacher

-				
Da	001	rtm	ant	٠
DE	JOI		ent	٠,

Semester /Team/Year:

Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown

4.00	3.00	2.00 1.50		0.0
	1			
Very good	Good	satisfactory	unsatisfactory	areas agency should

Name of the Teacher:

arameters	Α	В	C	D
	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
. Knowledge base of the teacher (as erceived by you)		~		
. communication skills (in terms of rticulation and comprehensibility)		2		
.sincerity/commitment of the teacher .Interest generated by the teacher				
.Ability to integrate course material with				
nvironment/other issues, to provide a roader perspective				
.Ability to integrate content with other ourses		V		
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of he class (includes availability of the				
eacher to motivate further study and				1
iscussion outside class) .Ability to design quizzes				
Tests/assignments /examinations and rojects to evaluate students				2
nderstanding of the course Provision of sufficient time for feedback		, , , , ,		
0.Overall rating				

Student has given feedback satisfactry most of the answer aprocial the quality of the teacher. It is helpfull for enhancing the quality education in the institution.

1

## Page-2

# Students overall evaluation of the programme and teaching

To be filled only after the course results are declared

Department Green	rophy		Course: A. A	1 Gargrophy
Teacher: - Prof	rophy Many Kumus S.	-d. 1	Year : 2023	
Your responses will be	seen only after your course re	suite hour has		
The information will be	a used only family a	ants have bee	n finalized and re	corded.
	used only for the improveme	ent of the cours	ie and teaching in	future.
You need not your nam	ne If you do not wish to.			
You may tick more than	n one answer to a question to	the extent tha	t they do not con	tradict each other
1. The syllabus of	each course was		, == ,,==,,,	addict cach other,
a. Adequa	ate 7			
b. Inadeq				
c. Challer d. Dull	ging			
	honefal			
a. More t	benefiting from the course with	as		
b. Adequa	ate			
c. Inadeq	10			
d. Cannot				
	المسا			
3. How much of th	ne syllabus was covered in the			
a. 85 to 10	)0%	Class?		
b. 70 to 85	55			
c. 55 to 70				
d. Less tha	in 55%			
4. What is your op	inion about the library materia	ما مستاف جين		
a. More th	an adequate	ar and facilities	for the course?	
b. Adequat	te			
c. Inadequ				
d. Very poo	or			
5. To what extent i	were you able to get material i	for the prescri	ped readings?	

	a.	Easy	
	b.	With some difficulty	
	c.	Not available at all	
	d.	With great difficulty	
6.	How w	vell did the teacher prepa	re for the classes ?
	a.	Thoroughly	
	b.	Satisfactory	
	c.	Poorly	
	d.	Indifferently	
7.	How w	vell was the teacher able	to communicate?
	a.	Always effective	
	b.	Sometimes effective	
	c.	Just satisfactorily	
	d.	Generally ineffective	
8.	How fa	ar the teacher encourage	s student participation in class?
	a.	Mostly yes	
	b.	Sometimes	
	c.	Not at all	
	d.	Always	
9.	If yes, 1	which of the following m	ethods were used?
	a.	Encouraged to raise que	estions
	b.	Get involved in discussi	on in class
	c.	Encourage discussion of	utside class
	d.	Did not encourage	
10.	How he	elpful was the teacher in	advising?
	a.	Very helpful	
	b.	Sometimes helpful	
	c.	Not at all helpful	
	d.	Did not advise	
11.	The tea	achers approach can best	be described as
	a.	Always courteous	
	b.	Sometimes rude	
	с.	Always indifferent	
	d.	Cannot say	
12.	Interna	l assessment was	
	a.	Always fair	
	b.	Sometimes unfair	
	c.	Usually unfair	
	d.	Sometimes fair	
13.	What e	ffect do you think the inte	ernal assessment will have on your course grade?
	а.	Helps to improve	Brader
	b.	Discouraging	

## Feedback on curriculum

Name of	the Dep	" Geography		
Name of	the coo	ordinator (collecting feedback)	of Mang Kun	ian Sorigh.
		on dated J.J. 202. 2to		
1.	a. b. c.	topic was Interesting Traditional Not interesting		
2.		Should remove from the syllabus he topic easy or difficult to understa	nd?	
	b. с.	Easy Manageable Inadequate Cannot say		
3.		many days it takes to complete the s 2days 3days 4days More than 5 days	aid topic?	
4	. What	% the topic related to the current jo	ob environment?	
	a. b. c. d.	Related only 10 to 25% Related more than 25% Related 50% Not related at all		
5	job e	t topic you want that the university servironment market?		yllabus to meet the current
	a. b. c. d.	Course on share market to be intro Accounting package like tally shoul More industry project work to be in Enter pre-neurship development	ld introduce ntroduced	ed

As a Alumni, what is your opinion about the topic?	
a. Satisfactory	
b. To be modify	
c. More feedback should taken	
d. Alumni/Employer /Industries should take active participation	
for modification of topic	
Mame - Ebrahm Arsani	
Class ?2011HO- 885 B.A	- #4
U. ROII. MO - 220341026059	
wathsaprio - 9608358111	
Einalid - etralimansari 687@ jimo	4.com
Session - 0021-2027	

6.

#### Student feedback on teacher

Department: Commerce	Semester /Team/Year:	2022
----------------------	----------------------	------

Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4 point scale shown

4.00	3.00	2.00 1.50		0.0
Very good	Good	satisfactory	unsatisfactory	

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Swit Kumar Mathur

parameters	A	В	С	D
	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) communication skills (in terms of princulation and comprehensibility) sincerity/commitment of the teacher Interest generated by the teacher Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a proader perspective				
6. Ability to integrate content with other courses 7 Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)				
8 Ability to design quizzes (Tests/assignments /examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course of Provision of sufficient time for feedback to.Overall rating				

Student hay given feed back satisfactory
Most of the Any wer appreciate the quality
of the Teacher. It is helpful for enhancing the
quality education in the Institution,

m

gay

#### Student feedback on teacher

Department: Commerce

Sémester /Team/Year:

2022

Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4 point scale shown

4.00	3.00	2.00 1.50	0.0
property and the contract of t	The second second second		the book of the second
mark greate in			Remarkan), P.
A service and the service and	and committee open reproductive transport	Market and the second market and another second	Numeron New Principal Control of the
Very good	Good	satisfactory	unsatisfactory

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Swit Kumar Mathur

parameters	A	B	C	D
	Very	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 2. communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility) 3. sincerity/commitment of the teacher 4. Interest generated by the teacher 5. Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a broader perspective 6. Ability to integrate content with other courses 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class) 8. Ability to design quizzes (Tests/assignments /examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course 9. Provision of sufficient time for feedback 10. Overall rating	Good			

Student has given feedback satisfactory
Most of the Ans wer appreciate the quality
of the Teacher. It is helpful for enhancing the
quality education in the Institution,

Day

M

## Page-2

## Students overall evaluation of the programme and teaching

To be filled only after the course results are declared

	Emm erec		Course:	13. com.
Teacher: DY.	Swift Kr.N	12HILL	Year :	2022
Your responses w	vill be seen only afte	r your course results have bee	n finalized	d and recorded.
The information v	will be used only for	the improvement of the cours	e and tea	ching in future.
You need not you	ır name if you do no	t wish to.		
You may tick more	e than one answer t	to a question to the extent that	t they do	not contradict each other.
a. A b. In c. Cl d. D 2. Backgroun a. M b. A c. In	bus of each course wadequate nadequate challenging bull and for benefiting from More than adequate adequate annot say	om the course was		
a. 85 b. 70 c. 55	h of the syllabus was 5 to 100% 0 to 85# 5 to 70% ess than 55%	s covered in the class?		
a. Mo b. Ad c. Ina d. Ve	ore than adequate [ dequate [ adequate [ ary poor [	e library material and facilities		

	a.	Easy
	b,	With some difficulty
	c.	Not available at all
	d.	
6.	Howw	rell did the teacher prepare for the classes ?
	a.	Thoroughly
	b.	Satisfactory
	c.	Poorly
		Indifferently
7.	How w	ell was the teacher able to communicate?
	a,	Always effective
	b.	Sometimes effective
		Just satisfactorily
_	d.	Generally ineffective
8.	How fa	r the teacher encourages student participation in class?
	a.	mostry yes
	b.	Sometimes
	c.	Not at all
		Always
9,	If yes,	which of the following methods were used?
		Encouraged to raise questions
	b.	Get involved in discussion in class
		Encourage discussion outside class
10	d.	- in the case of t
10,		elpful was the teacher in advising?
		Very helpful
		Sometimes helpful
	c. d.	Not at all helpful  Did not advise
11		
**,		chers approach can best be described as
		Always courteous Sometimes rude
	c.	Always indifferent
	d.	
12.		assessment was
		Always fair
		Sometimes unfair
		Usually unfair
		Sometimes fair
13.		ffect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade?
	a.	Helps to improve
		Discouraging

	c.	No special effect
	d.	Sometimes effective
14.	How o	ften did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?
	a.	Regularly/in time
	b.	With helpful comment
	c.	Often/late
	d.	Without any comments
15.	Were y	our assignments discussed with you?
	a.	Yes, fully
	b.	Yes, partly
	C.	Not discussed at all
	d.	Sometimes discussed
16.	Were y	ou provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?
	a.	Yes Yes
	b.	No
	If yes, v	was it helpful?
	a.	Yes
	b.	No

## Feedback on curriculum

Name of	the De	pt. Commerce		
Name of	the co	ordinator (collecting feedback)	r. Sujit Kr.	Mathur
Feedback	taken	on dated	\$ 23-F-22	
1.	The	topic was	TO	
	a.	Interesting		
	b.	Traditional		
	c.	Not interesting		
	d.	Should remove from the syllabus		
2.	Was t	the topic easy or difficult to understan-	d?	
	a.	Easy		
	b.	Manageable		
	C.	Inadequate		
	d.	Cannot say		
3.	How	many days it takes to complete the sai	d topic?	
	a.	2days		
	b.	3days		
	c.	4days		
	ď.	More than 5 days		
4.	What	t % the topic related to the current job	environment?	
	a.	Related only 10 to 25%		
	b.	Related more than 25%		
	C.	Related 50%		
	d.	Not related at all		
5.	job e a. b.	t topic you want that the university sho invironment market ? Course on share market to be introdu Accounting package like tally should More industry project work to be into	uce introduce	labus to meet the current
		Enter pre-neurship development pro		

<ol><li>As a Alumni, what is your opinion about the topic?</li></ol>
a. Satisfactory
b. To be modify
c. More feedback should taken
d. Alumni/Employer /Industries should take active participation
for modification of topic
Name :- Kinjal Sahu
class: - B. Com (Honows) (semester - II)
Subject: - B. Com
Session :- 2022 - 26
class Roll No; - 05
University Roll No: - 230 342024499
Whatsapp No: - 9693102815
Emall Id: - Kinjalsahu 19 Qgmail. Com
Signature: - Kinjoleahu