Student feedback on teacher

Department: Semester /Team/Year:

Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4-point scale shown

| 4.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 1.50 | 0.0 |

	✓			
Very good	Good	satisfactory	unsatisfactory	

Name of the Teacher:

Prof. Manoj Kumar Singh.

parameters	A Very Good	B Good	C Satisfactory	D Unsatisfactory
1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)		✓		
2. communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)		✓		
3 .sincerity/commitment of the teacher				✓
4 .Interest generated by the teacher	✓			
5 .Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a broader perspective	✓			
6 .Ability to integrate content with other courses	✓			
7 Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)	✓			
8 .Ability to design quizzes /Tests/assignments /examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course			✓	
9 Provision of sufficient time for feedback				
10.Overall rating				✓

Student has given feedback satifactry
most of the answer aprecial the quality
of the teacher. It is helpfull for enhancing
the quality education in the institution.

Students overall evaluation of the programme and teaching

To be filled only after the course results are declared

Department   Geography   Course: D. A (Geography)

Teacher: — Prof Manoj Kumar Singh .   Year : 2022

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.

The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in future.

You need not your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was
   a. Adequate   ☑
   b. Inadequate   ☐
   c. Challenging   ☐
   d. Dull   ☐

2. Background for benefiting from the course was
   a. More than adequate ☐
   b. Adequate   ☑
   c. Inadequate   ☐
   d. Cannot say   ☐

3. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?
   a. 85 to 100%   ☐
   b. 70 to 855   ☑
   c. 55 to 70%   ☐
   d. Less than 55% ☐

4. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?
   a. More than adequate ☐
   b. Adequate   ☑
   c. Inadequate   ☐
   d. Very poor   ☐

5. To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a. Easy ☐
b. With some difficulty ☐
c. Not available at all ☑
d. With great difficulty ☐

6. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes ?
   a. Thoroughly ☐
   b. Satisfactory ☑
   c. Poorly ☐
   d. Indifferently ☐

7. How well was the teacher able to communicate?
   a. Always effective ☐
   b. Sometimes effective ☑
   c. Just satisfactorily ☐
   d. Generally ineffective ☐

8. How far the teacher encourages *student* participation in class?
   a. Mostly yes ☑
   b. Sometimes ☐
   c. Not at all ☐
   d. Always ☐

9. If yes, which of the following methods were used?
   a. Encouraged to raise questions ☑
   b. Get involved in discussion in class ☐
   c. Encourage discussion outside class ☐
   d. Did not encourage ☐

10. How helpful was the teacher in advising?
    a. Very helpful ☑
    b. Sometimes helpful ☐
    c. Not at all helpful ☐
    d. Did not advise ☐

11. The teachers approach can best be described as
    a. Always courteous ☑
    b. Sometimes rude ☐
    c. Always indifferent ☐
    d. Cannot say ☐

12. Internal assessment was
    a. Always fair ☑
    b. Sometimes unfair ☐
    c. Usually unfair ☐
    d. Sometimes fair ☐

13. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade?
    a. Helps to improve ☑
    b. Discouraging ☐

c. No special effect ☐

d. Sometimes effective ☐

14. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?

a. Regularly/in time ☑

b. With helpful comment ☐

c. Often/late ☐

d. Without any comments ☐

15. Were your assignments discussed with you?

a. Yes, fully ☑

b. Yes, partly ☐

c. Not discussed at all ☐

d. Sometimes discussed ☐

16. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?

a. Yes ☑

b. No ☐

If yes, was it helpful?

a. Yes ☑

b. No ☐

Feedback on curriculum

Name of the Dept. *Geography)*

Name of the coordinator (collecting feedback)... *Prof. Manoj Kumar Singh.*

Feedback taken on dated .17.01.2022... to ...19.03.2022..

1. The topic was
   a. Interesting ☑
   b. Traditional ☐
   c. Not interesting ☐
   d. Should remove from the syllabus ☐

2. Was the topic easy or difficult to understand?
   a. Easy ☑
   b. Manageable ☐
   c. Inadequate ☐
   d. Cannot say ☐

3. How many days it takes to complete the said topic?
   a. 2days ☐
   b. 3days ☑
   c. 4days ☐
   d. More than 5 days ☐

4. What % the topic related to the current job environment?
   a. Related only 10 to 25% ☐
   b. Related more than 25% ☑
   c. Related 50% ☐
   d. Not related at all ☐

5. What topic you want that the university should incorporate in the syllabus to meet the current job environment market ?
   a. Course on share market to be introduce ☐
   b. Accounting package like tally should introduce ☐
   c. More industry project work to be introduced ☑
   d. Enter pre-neurship development programme must introduced ☐

6. As a Alumni, what is your opinion about the topic ?
   a. Satisfactory ✓
   b. To be modify
   c. More feedback should taken
   d. Alumni/Employer /Industries should take active participation
      for modification of topic

Name — Ebrahim Ansari

class Roll No — 885   B.A

U.Roll No — 220341026059

wathsap No — 9608358111

Email ID — ebrahimAnsari687@gimal.com

Session — 2021 - 2024

Student feedback on teacher

Department: **Commerce** Semester /Team/Year: **2022**

Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4 point scale shown

| 4.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 1.50 | 0.0 |

Very good	Good	satisfactory	unsatisfactory
	✓		

Name of the Teacher: **Dr. Sujit Kumar Mathur**

parameters	A Very Good	B Good	C Satisfactory	D Unsatisfactory
1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)		✓		
2. communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)			✓	
3. sincerity/commitment of the teacher			✓	
4. Interest generated by the teacher			✓	
5. Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a broader perspective	✓			
6. Ability to integrate content with other courses	✓			
7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)		✓		
8. Ability to design quizzes /Tests/assignments /examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course		✓		
9. Provision of sufficient time for feedback			✓	
10. Overall rating			✓	

Student has given feedback satisfactory
Most of the Answer appreciate the quality
of the Teacher. It is helpful for enhancing the
quality education in the Institution.

Student feedback on teacher

Department: Commerce   Semester /Team/Year: 2022

Please rate the teacher on the following attributes using the 4 point scale shown

4.00	3.00	2.00	1.50		0.0
	✓				

Very good   Good   satisfactory   unsatisfactory

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Srijit Kumar Mathur

parameters	A Very Good	B Good	C Satisfactory	D Unsatisfactory
1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)		✓		
2. communication skills (in terms of articulation and comprehensibility)			✓	
3 .sincerity/commitment of the teacher			✓	
4 .Interest generated by the teacher			✓	
5 .Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues, to provide a broader perspective	✓			
6 .Ability to integrate content with other courses	✓			
7 Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class)		✓		
8 .Ability to design quizzes /Tests/assignments /examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course		✓		
9 Provision of sufficient time for feedback			✓	
10.Overall rating			✓	

Student has given feedback satisfactory
Most of the Answer appreciate the quality
of the Teacher . It is helpful for enhancing the
quality education in the Institution .

Page-2

Students overall evaluation of the programme and teaching

To be filled only after the course results are declared

Department Commerce

Course: B. Com.

Teacher: Dr. Sujit Kr. Mathur

Year : 2022

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalized and recorded.

The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in future.

You need not your name if you do not wish to.

You may tick more than one answer to a question to the extent that they do not contradict each other.

1. The syllabus of each course was
   a. Adequate ☑
   b. Inadequate ☐
   c. Challenging ☐
   d. Dull ☐

2. Background for benefiting from the course was
   a. More than adequate ☐
   b. Adequate ☑
   c. Inadequate ☐
   d. Cannot say ☐

3. How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?
   a. 85 to 100% ☐
   b. 70 to 85% ☑
   c. 55 to 70% ☐
   d. Less than 55% ☐

4. What is your opinion about the library material and facilities for the course?
   a. More than adequate ☐
   b. Adequate ☑
   c. Inadequate ☐
   d. Very poor ☐

5. To what extent were you able to get material for the prescribed readings?

a. Easy ☐
b. With some difficulty ☑
c. Not available at all ☐
d. With great difficulty ☐

6. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes ?
   a. Thoroughly ☐
   b. Satisfactory ☑
   c. Poorly ☐
   d. Indifferently ☐

7. How well was the teacher able to communicate?
   a. Always effective ☐
   b. Sometimes effective ☑
   c. Just satisfactorily ☐
   d. Generally ineffective ☐

8. How far the teacher encourages student participation in class?
   a. Mostly yes ☑
   b. Sometimes ☐
   c. Not at all ☐
   d. Always ☐

9. If yes, which of the following methods were used?
   a. Encouraged to raise questions ☑
   b. Get involved in discussion in class ☐
   c. Encourage discussion outside class ☐
   d. Did not encourage ☐

10. How helpful was the teacher in advising?
    a. Very helpful ☑
    b. Sometimes helpful ☐
    c. Not at all helpful ☐
    d. Did not advise ☐

11. The teachers approach can best be described as
    a. Always courteous ☑
    b. Sometimes rude ☐
    c. Always indifferent ☐
    d. Cannot say ☐

12. Internal assessment was
    a. Always fair ☑
    b. Sometimes unfair ☐
    c. Usually unfair ☐
    d. Sometimes fair ☐

13. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade?
    a. Helps to improve ☑
    b. Discouraging ☐

c. No special effect ☐
d. Sometimes effective ☐

14. How often did the teacher provide feedback on your performance?
    a. Regularly/in time ☑
    b. With helpful comment ☐
    c. Often/late ☐
    d. Without any comments ☐

15. Were your assignments discussed with you?
    a. Yes, fully ☑
    b. Yes, partly ☐
    c. Not discussed at all ☐
    d. Sometimes discussed ☐

16. Were you provided with a course contributory lecture too at the beginning?
    a. Yes ☑
    b. No ☐

    If yes, was it helpful?

    a. Yes ☑
    b. No ☐

Feedback on curriculum

Name of the Dept. *Commerce*

Name of the coordinator (collecting feedback)......*Dr. Sujit kr. Mathur*

Feedback taken on dated ......*22-8-22*....to.....*23-8-22*

1. The topic was
   a. Interesting ☑
   b. Traditional ☐
   c. Not interesting ☐
   d. Should remove from the syllabus ☐

2. Was the topic easy or difficult to understand?
   a. Easy ☑
   b. Manageable ☐
   c. Inadequate ☐
   d. Cannot say ☐

3. How many days it takes to complete the said topic?
   a. 2days ☐
   b. 3days ☑
   c. 4days ☐
   d. More than 5 days ☐

4. What % the topic related to the current job environment?
   a. Related only 10 to 25% ☐
   b. Related more than 25% ☑
   c. Related 50% ☐
   d. Not related at all ☐

5. What topic you want that the university should incorporate in the syllabus to meet the current job environment market ?
   a. Course on share market to be introduce ☐
   b. Accounting package like tally should introduce ☐
   c. More industry project work to be introduced ☑
   d. Enter pre-neurship development programme must introduced ☐

6. As a Alumni, what is your opinion about the topic ?
   a. Satisfactory ✓
   b. To be modify ☐
   c. More feedback should taken ☐
   d. Alumni/Employer /Industries should take active participation
      for modification of topic ☐

Name :- Kinjal Sahu

Class :- B. Com (Honours) (semester - II)

Subject:- B.Com

Session :- 2022 - 26

Class Roll No :- 05

University Roll No :- 230 342024499

whatsapp No :- 9693102845

Email Id :- Kinjalsahu 19 @gmail. Com

Signature :- Kinjalsahu